


Policy Development: Time to Join

Marco Schmidt
Policy Development Officer




- **RIPE policies are made by people like you!**
- Policy development in RIPE is done via working groups — following an open, bottom-up, consensus-based approach
- People share policy ideas in working group sessions at RIPE Meetings...
- ...but all discussions and decisions take place on the mailing lists


Discussion Phase



Review Phase





Last Call




Proposal Accepted

2014-03, “Remove Multihoming Requirement for AS Number Assignments”

- Would allow ASNs to be assigned for any need that can't be satisfied with an existing one
- The RIPE NCC would no longer evaluate need, but would record this
- 1,000 ASN limit per organisation
- 16-bit ASNs must be multihomed within nine months

	
<p>Decision by requester</p> <p>Easy to request ASN</p> <p>ASN for any need</p> <p>Accuracy of the RIPE Database</p>	<p>Decision by requester</p> <p>Policy complication</p> <p>Risk of stockpiling ASNs</p> <p>“Garbage collection”</p>

What do you think?



- **Ongoing:**

- 2015-01, “Alignment of Transfer Requirements for IPv4 Allocations”
- 2015-02, “Keep IPv6 PI When Requesting IPv6 Allocation”

- **Recently Accepted:**

- Allow transfers of IPv4 PI, IPv6 and ASNs
- Inter-RIR transfer of Internet resources
- Remove IPv6 requirement when requesting /22 IPv4
- IPv6 still necessary for future growth



- Get informed!


Progress Timeline			
	In Progress	Complete	I.A. Impact Analysis
	Initial Discussion	Comment & Review	Concluding
2014-03			
Remove Multihoming Requirement for AS Number Assignments		Open for Discussion Extended Until 19 May 2015	
Address Policy Working Group			
2015-01			

- Join the discussion!

To post a message to all the list members, send an email to address-policy-wg@ripe.net. Please note that only subscribers can post messages.

[Subscribe to the Mailing List](#)

	
<p>“I support this proposal”</p> <p>“I support this proposal because...”</p> <p>“I support this proposal, but...”</p> <p>“+1”</p>	<p>“I oppose this proposal, because...”</p> <p>“I oppose this proposal as it stands, because...”</p> <p>“I also oppose this proposal”</p> <p>“-1”</p>





- pdo@ripe.net
- Twitter: @PDO_RIPE_NCC



- <https://www.ripe.net/ripe/policies/current-proposals/current-policy-proposals>
- <https://www.ripe.net/ripe/groups/wg/ap>
- bit.ly/APWGML14

