

Network Monitoring & Measurement: Privacy and Legal Issues

Andrew Cormack, Chief Security Adviser, UKERNA <u>A.Cormack@ukerna.ac.uk</u>

RIPE meeting 51, Amsterdam, October 2005

©The JNT Association, 2005



Disclaimer

I am not a lawyer This is not legal advice Different laws in different countries Talk to your own lawyers before taking action



Terminology

Active measurement

- Measurer generates own traffic and watches result
- E.g. ping, traceroute, ...
- Passive monitoring
 - Monitor looks at headers of other people's traffic
 - E.g. netflow, ...

Interception

- Interceptor can see content of other people's traffic
- E.g. network sniffer ...



Privacy Issues

Looking at someone else's traffic breaches their privacy Good practice should protect privacy as far as possible Looking at headers seems less serious than content Headers are "stuff needed to get message from A to B" So networks have to look at headers anyway But even headers can still be a serious breach of privacy Suppose you find lots of packets to a cancer support site? Aggregating/anonymising headers reduces breach Passive Monitoring and Interception always breach privacy Laws exist that protect privacy



What Laws Apply? (Europe)

Active measurement

No legal issues, provided you measure consenting targets and don't flood the network!

Passive monitoring

- Data Protection (95/46/EC) & Privacy and Electronic Communications (2002/58/EC) Directives protect people
- Confidentiality Law protects organisations

Interception

- European Convention on Human Rights (Art.8) protects humans
- Plus Data Protection/Confidentiality Law as above



Does Law Allow Privacy Breaches?

Yes, but only if they are

Necessary, proportionate, controlled and not secret
 Law balances harm if done versus harm if not done
 Law recognises that some actions are needed, e.g.
 Management of billing or traffic (operations)

 Also includes planning, capacity management, etc.
 Prevention or detection of faults & misuse
 Providing value-added services

 Almost always need to tell users beforehand

 General notice, specific information, explicit consent



National Laws

Member states need to implement European law DP Directives (headers) are detailed and prescriptive National laws should be similar (but are not identical) UK: Data Protection Act 1998 & Electronic Communications (EC Directive) Regulations 2003 ECHR Article 8 (content) has more room for variation National laws likely to have significant differences UK: Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000



UK law on informing users

	Passive Monitoring	Interception
	(DPA 1998)	(RIPA 2000)
Operation	Ν	N (by DPA)
Misuse	Ν	l or C
Value-Added	Ν	С
service	(user has right to opt out)	

N:must notify users, i.e. publish the information somewhere I: must take "all reasonable measures" to inform users C:must obtain positive consent from *all* affected users



Example: checking network status

Often done by Active Measurement (ping++) Avoid privacy problems by measuring known targets Some tools measure capacity by flooding S Looking at flows may be Passive Monitoring Aggregate data to anonymise as soon as possible Protect the data against disclosure/misuse Done by network operator for operational purpose Remind users about it in your terms & conditions RIPE meeting 51, Amsterdam, October 2005 ©The JNT Association, 2005



Example: network fault finding

May include all types of measurement and monitoring Operator is allowed to make own network work If necessary and proportionate, not a problem General notice to users: remind them you are looking Where possible, follow documented process Effective fault finding and effective privacy protection What to do when you find something unexpected? Don't investigate other people's networks without asking



Example: investigating misuse

Logfiles are result of Passive Monitoring

- May also contain content (e.g. Subject:, URL file, ...)
- So rules for interception may apply

What kind of misuse? And who is investigating?

- Technical misuse investigated by network operator
 - Part of operations: can Passive Monitor or Intercept if necessary
 - General notice to users probably sufficient
- Policy breach investigated by user organisation
 - Not part of operations: probably restricted to Passive Monitoring
 - Likely to need to inform users and make rules/punishments clear



Sharing Information with others

Must be necessary, proportionate, open, etc. Within European Economic Area (EEA)

- Usually not a (large) problem
- Written procedures a good idea

Outside EEA

- Big problem if personal data included
- Written agreement probably essential to avoid trouble

Data relating to crimes, e.g. hacking

- Some Member States restrict this to authorised bodies
- Check whether yours requires prior authorisation



So Must Ask (and Write Down)

Are my activities necessary, proportionate, controlled, open?

- Why am I going to do this?
- Is the risk if I don't do it greater than the harm if I do?
- Can I do it in a less intrusive way?
- How long do I need to keep the data?
- How will I protect the data and the user against misuse?
- Have I informed users? Have they consented?
- What does the law require of me?

Responsible, professional activities will usually be ok Unprofessional activities may be unlawful